

ENC 2

LSL·

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

CITY OF BIG BEAR LAKE, CALIFORNIA

SINGLE AUDIT REPORT

JUNE 30, 2008

Lance Soll & Lunghard, LLP

203 North Brea Blvd
Suite 203
Brea, CA 92821

41185 Golden Gate Circle
Suite 103
Murrieta, CA 92562

SAR
4/1/09

CITY OF BIG BEAR LAKE, CALIFORNIA

SINGLE AUDIT REPORT

JUNE 30, 2008

CITY OF BIG BEAR LAKE

JUNE 30, 2008

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page Number</u>
Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	1
Report on Compliance with Requirements Applicable to Each Major Program, on Internal Control Over Compliance, and on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards in Accordance with OMB Circular A-133	3
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2008	5
Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	6
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2008	7



CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

- Brandon W. Burrows, C.P.A.
- Donald L. Parker, C.P.A.
- Michael K. Chu, C.P.A.
- David E. Hale, C.P.A., C.F.P.
- A Professional Corporation
- Donald G. Slater, C.P.A.
- Richard K. Kikuchi, C.P.A.
- Susan F. Matz, C.P.A.

REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING
AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT
OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE
WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

To the Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council
City of Big Bear Lake, California

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Big Bear Lake, California, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2008, which collectively comprise the City of Big Bear Lake, California's basic financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated December 13, 2008. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the City of Big Bear Lake, California's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City of Big Bear Lake, California's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City of Big Bear Lake, California's internal control over financial reporting.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. However, as discussed below, we identified certain deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be significant deficiencies.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the City of Big Bear Lake, California's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report financial data reliably in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the City of Big Bear Lake, California's financial statements that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the City of Big Bear Lake, California's internal control. We consider the following to be a significant deficiency in internal control over financial reporting.



To the Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council
City of Big Bear Lake, California

Audit Journal Entry

During our audit, we identified that the triple flip true up entry had not been recorded which required a correcting journal entry.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the financial statements will not be prevented or detected by the City of Big Bear Lake, California's internal control.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be significant deficiencies and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all significant deficiencies that are also considered to be material weaknesses. However, we believe that the significant deficiency described above is not a material weakness.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City of Big Bear Lake, California's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, the audit committee, City Council, and federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Lance, Soll & Loughard, LLP

December 13, 2008



CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

- Brandon W. Burrows, C.P.A.
 - Donald L. Parker, C.P.A.
 - Michael K. Chu, C.P.A.
 - David E. Hale, C.P.A., C.F.P.
- A Professional Corporation*
- Donald G. Slater, C.P.A.
 - Richard K. Kikuchi, C.P.A.
 - Susan F. Matz, C.P.A.

REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS APPLICABLE TO EACH
MAJOR PROGRAM, ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE, AND
ON THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS
IN ACCORDANCE WITH OMB CIRCULAR A-133

To the Honorable Mayor and the Members of the City Council
City of Big Bear Lake, California

Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the City of Big Bear Lake, California, (the "City") with the types of compliance requirements described in the United States Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2008. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants applicable to each of its major federal programs is the responsibility of the City's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments and Non-Profit Organizations. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures, as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination on the City's compliance with those requirements.

In our opinion, the City complied, in all material respects, with the requirements referred to above that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2008.

Internal Control Over Compliance

The management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to federal programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the City's internal control over compliance with the requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program in order to determine our audit procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.



To the Honorable Mayor and the Members of the City Council
City of Big Bear Lake, California

A *control deficiency* in a City's internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the City's ability to administer a federal program such that there is more than a remote likelihood that noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the City's internal control.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in the entity's internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiency in the internal control over compliance that we consider material weakness as defined above.

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City as of and for the year ended June 30, 2008, and have issued our report thereon dated December 13, 2008. Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming an opinion of the basic financial statements taken as a whole. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by OMB Circular A-133 and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, are fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

This report is intended solely for the information of the City Council, management, federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities and is not intended to be, and should not be, used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Lance, Solt & Loughard, LLP

January 30, 2009

CITY OF BIG BEAR LAKE

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS
FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2008

<u>Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title</u>	<u>Federal CFDA Number</u>	<u>Pass-Through Grantor's Number</u>	<u>Expenditures</u>
<u>U.S. Department of Agriculture - Forest Service</u>			
Passed through the California Fire Safe Council: Cooperative Forestry Assistance	10.664	07USFS9302 7FG70080	\$ 142,345 3,596
Total U.S. Department of Agriculture - Forest Service			145,941
<u>U.S. Department of Transportation</u>			
Passed through the State of California Department of Transportation: Highway Planning and Construction*	20.205	ER-4209 (002)	498,259
Total U.S. Department of Transportation			498,259
<u>U.S. Department of Homeland Security</u>			
Passed through the State of California Office of Emergency Services: State Domestic Preparedness Equipment Support Program	97.004	SHSGP FY07	7,201
State and Local Homeland Security Training Program	97.005	071-06434-00	82,318
Passed through the County of San Bernardino: Emergency Management Performance Grant	97.042	CFDK3385CR	3,578
Total U.S. Department of Homeland Security			93,097
Total Federal Expenditures			\$ 737,297

* Major Program

Note a: Refer to Note 1 to the schedule of expenditures of federal awards for a description of significant accounting policies used in preparing this schedule.

Note b: There was no federal awards expended in the form of noncash assistance and insurance in effect during the year.

CITY OF BIG BEAR LAKE

NOTES TO THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS

Note 1: Summary of Significant Accounting Policies Applicable to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

a. Scope of Presentation

The accompanying schedule presents only the expenditures incurred by the City of Big Bear Lake, California, that are reimbursable under federal programs of federal financial assistance. For the purposes of this schedule, federal awards include both federal financial assistance received directly from a federal agency, as well as federal funds received indirectly by the City from a non-federal agency or other organization. Only the portion of program expenditures reimbursable with such federal funds is reported in the accompanying schedule. Program expenditures in excess of the maximum federal reimbursement authorized or the portion of the program expenditures that were funded with state, local or other non-federal funds are excluded from the accompanying schedule.

b. Basis of Accounting

The expenditures included in the accompanying schedule were reported on the modified accrual basis of accounting. Under the modified accrual basis of accounting, expenditures are incurred when the City becomes obligated for payment as a result of the receipt of the related goods and services. Expenditures reported included any property or equipment acquisitions incurred under the federal program.

CITY OF BIG BEAR LAKE

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS
FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2008

SECTION I - SUMMARY OF AUDITORS' RESULTS

Financial Statements

Type of auditors' report issued: Unqualified Opinion

Internal control over financial reporting:

- Significant deficiencies identified? ☒ yes ☐ no
- Significant deficiencies identified that are considered to be material weaknesses? ☐ yes ☒ none reported

Noncompliance material to financial statements noted? ☐ yes ☒ no

Federal Awards

Internal control over major programs:

- Significant deficiencies identified? ☐ yes ☒ no
- Significant deficiencies identified that are considered to be material weaknesses? ☐ yes ☒ none reported

Type of auditors' report issued on compliance for major programs: Unqualified Opinion

Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with Section 510(a) of Circular A-133? ☐ yes ☒ no

Identification of major programs:

<u>CFDA Number(s)</u>	<u>Name of Federal Program or Cluster</u>
-----------------------	---

20.205	Highway Planning & Construction
--------	---------------------------------

Dollar threshold used to distinguish between type A and type B program	\$300,000
--	-----------

Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee? ☐ yes ☒ no

CITY OF BIG BEAR LAKE

**SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS
FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2008**

SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS

No matters were reported.

SECTION III - FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

No matters were reported.

Summary schedule of prior audit findings

Not applicable

Corrective Action plan

Not applicable